

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,495		07/26/2001	Gene Summy	TLSLAB.001A	6808
20995	7590	0 11/12/2003		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE	E MAI	RTENS OLSON &	WOOD, KIMBERLY T		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614				3632	
				DATE MAILED: 11/12/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/915,495	SUMMY, GENE						
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit						
	Kimberly T. Wood	3632						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	July 2003 .							
	his action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6 and 9-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
_	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
$\_$ a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has b	een received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes:  Attachment(s)	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. 33 120 and/01 121.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 3632

n .

This is the second office action for serial number 09/915,495, entitled Corner Flashing System, filed on July 26, 2001.

#### Election/Restrictions

The inventions of claim 1-9 and invention of claims 10-20 are two distinct and separate inventions consisting of a process of making and a product made. The invention are distinct and would require separate status in the art shown by different classification therefore the restriction is proper. The inventions being classified in different classification would result in "a serious burden on the Examiner".

This application contains claims 4-6 and 9-20 which are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 7.

A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

Application/Control Number: 09/915,495
Art Unit: 3632

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams 6,401,401. Williams (see figures 10-15 and figure 10 attached) discloses a window (25) having a horizontal surface (28 and 29) and a vertical surface (26 and 27), a horizontal seating flange, a vertical seating flange, a first flap (33 vertical, figure 10) and second flap (33 horizontal, figure 10), a web (40).

Application/Control Number: 09/915,495

Art Unit: 3632

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams 6,401,401 in view of Tajima et al. (Tajima) 4,248,926. Williams discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the web having an adhesive backing and the first member being of asphalt based flashing material. Tajima teaches that it is known to have a flashing being made of asphalt based material (column 3, lines 8ff, see column 1, lines 10ff "bitumen" as used hereinbelow may be replaced by the term "asphalt") having an adhesive backing (figure 4, column 3, lines 60ff). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Williams to have included the adhesive backing on the web flashing as taught by Tajima for the purpose of facilitating attachment of the web to the window frame. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 09/915,495

Art Unit: 3632

in the art to have made the flashing of asphalt based flashing material as taught by Tajima since, both Williams and Tajima are mechanical equivalent flashing members made of water impermeable flashing material and it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use without producing any unexpected results or destroying the invention.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action

Art Unit: 3632

is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Wood whose telephone number is (703) 308-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. The fax number for an Official Amendment or Response is (703) 872-9306. The fax number for an Unofficial Amendment or Response is (703) 308-3519.

Kimberly Wood Primary Examiner November 3, 2003

KIMBERLY WOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER